#0288



STATE OF WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

PO Box 47600 • Olympia, WA 98504-7600 • 360-407-6000 TTY 711 or 800-833-6388 (For the Speech or Hearing Impaired)

March 7, 2005

The Honorable Janea Holmquist State Representative PO Box 40600 Olympia, WA 98504-0600

Dear Representative Holmquist:

Thank you for your letter received on December 17, 2004, regarding the water quality assessment for phosphorus in Moses Lake. While we are still reviewing the comments we received on the overall statewide Assessment and will be providing a general response to comments, I wanted to directly respond to some of your concerns regarding what you consider the department's lack of response to concerns about Moses Lake data.

I apologize for the perception that the department did not answer individual detailed questions received during the public comment period last spring. In fact, a public review for a statewide project must generally respond to all comments, and make changes to the project based on weighing all comments received. It is typical for the department to receive conflicting comments during a public comment period on a draft decision that the department has made. In the case of the Moses Lake listing for phosphorus, we received 10 comment letters, which varied in their support of Category 2 or Category 5. Some letters questioned the data that we used and how we arrived at the assessment decision. No new phosphorus data or analysis was submitted for Moses Lake to add support for either category.

In responding to all of the concerns expressed in the varying letters, it made sense for us to take a step back and take a fresh look at the data. Thus, the department reviewed the data to reconfirm that quality assurance requirements were met on the samples represented in the assessment, analyzed the data based on the location of the samples, and provided that information in a detailed table that was attached to the letter I sent you on October 29, 2004.

This assessment resulted in better describing three portions of Moses Lake where the phosphorus levels are a concern, and one portion, at the south end of Parker Horn, where the data indicates a problem with excess phosphorus.

ecological districts



The Honorable Janea Holmquist March 7, 2005 Page 2

I recognize that you still have questions that you feel are unanswered regarding the data and technical assessment of phosphorus for Moses Lake. I asked our Environmental Assessment Program staff to respond to these questions, which are included in the five attachments to this letter. However, if you are still unsatisfied with our response, I believe the next step should be to set up a time to meet in person and go over the details together.

On a positive note, the City of Moses Lake finds the current listing process for Moses Lake appropriate and reasonable, and has expressed an interest in taking a lead role in improving the waters of Moses Lake. We are currently working with them and other interested stakeholders to conduct the necessary monitoring to determine what further work needs to be done. Also, the proposed Columbia River Initiative contains some features that, if implemented, would result in additional flows of high quality irrigation project waters through the lake. In the meantime, the Moses Lake TMDL has been put on hold and we do not plan to revisit the priority of this project until the next scoping session for that given area, which will be in 2006.

As mentioned, I would be happy to meet with you to discuss your concerns further if that would be helpful. If so, please call my secretary, Diane Smith, at 360-407-6448 to arrange a time that works for you.

Sincerely,

David C. Peeler

Water Quality Program Manager

Attachments:

Attachment 1: Response to general questions on Moses Lake

Attachment 2: Revised map of Moses Lake Listings Attachment 3: Revised Table of Moses Lake Listings Attachment 4: Analysis Summary of phosphorus data

Attachment 5: Memo from Dean Momohara of Ecology's Manchester lab